

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

CENTENNIAL MEDICAL CENTER

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-11-0251-01

MFDR Date Received

SEPTEMBER 15. 2010

Respondent Name

TWIN CITY FIRE INSURANCE CO

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 47

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "Since TDI moved to a 143% of DRG for inpatient services on 3/1/08 for hospital claims, we have reviewed the Medicare allowance and decided the insurance reimbursement does not meet this criteria. Medicare would have allowed this facility \$11,288.93 for DRG 494 at 143%. Based on their payment of \$9,984.51, a supplemental payment of \$1,394.42 is due."

Amount in Dispute: \$1,394.42

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "The appeal submitted for the above referenced claim has been reviewed. We are unable to recommend additional payment because the bill was processed correctly in accordance with the PPO contract."

Response Submitted by: Flahive, Ogden & Latson on behalf of Coventry Workers' Comp Services

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
October 8, 2009 through October 13, 2009	Inpatient Hospital Services	\$1,394.42	\$1,394.42

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving a medical fee dispute.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404 sets out the guidelines for reimbursement of hospital facility fees for inpatient services.

- 3. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes: Explanation of benefits
 - W1-Workers' compensation state fee schedule adjustment. Re-pricing included in the DRG rate.
 - 45-Charges exceed your contracted/legislated fee arrangement. This bill was reviewed in accordance with your First Health owned contract.

Issues

- 1. Were the disputed services subject to a specific fee schedule set in a contract between the parties that complies with the requirements of Labor Code §413.011?
- 2. Which reimbursement calculation applies to the services in dispute?
- 3. What is the maximum allowable reimbursement for the services in dispute?
- 4. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services?

Findings

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(e) states that: "Except as provided in subsection (h) of this section, regardless of billed amount, reimbursement shall be:
 - (1) the amount for the service that is included in a specific fee schedule set in a contract that complies with the requirements of Labor Code §413.011; or
 - (2) if no contracted fee schedule exists that complies with Labor Code §413.011, the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) amount under subsection (f) of this section, including any applicable outlier payment amounts and reimbursement for implantables."
 - No documentation was found to support the existence of a contractual agreement between Twin City Fire Insurance Co. and Centennial Medical Dispute; therefore the MAR can be established under §134.404(f).
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(f) states that "The reimbursement calculation used for establishing the MAR shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Inpatient Prospective Payment System (IPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the Federal Register. The following minimal modifications shall be applied.
 - (1) The sum of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by:
 - (A) 143 percent; unless
 - (B) a facility or surgical implant provider requests separate reimbursement in accordance with subsection (g) of this section, in which case the facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by 108 percent."

No documentation was found to support that the facility requested separate reimbursement for implantables; for that reason the MAR is calculated according to 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(f)(1)(A).

- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(f)(1)(A) establishes MAR by multiplying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Inpatient Prospective Payment System (IPPS) reimbursement formula and factors (including outliers) by 143%. Information regarding the calculation of Medicare IPPS payment rates may be found at http://www.cms.gov. Documentation found supports that the DRG assigned to the services in dispute is 494, and that the services were provided at Centennial Medical Center, Frisco, Texas. Consideration of the DRG, location of the services, and bill-specific information results in a total Medicare facility specific allowable amount of \$7,894.36. This amount multiplied by 143% results in a MAR of \$11,288.93.
- 4. The division concludes that the total allowable reimbursement for the services in dispute is \$11,288.93. The respondent issued payment in the amount of \$9,894.51. Based upon the documentation submitted, additional reimbursement in the amount of \$1,394.42 is recommended. Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$1,394.42 plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this Order.

Authorized Signature			
	Elizabeth Pickle, RHIA	04/24/2014	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute may appeal this decision by requesting a contested case hearing. A completed **Request for a Medical Contested Case Hearing** (form **DWC045A**) must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party**.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.